Case 1:09-cv-00777-LBS -AJP Document 199	Filed 11/10/10 Page 1 of 3
	USDS SDNY
	DOCUMENT
	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	DOC #:
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DATE FILED: 11-10-10

) Master File No. 09-CV-0777 (LBS)
IN RE BEACON SECURITIES LITIGATION)
)
This Document Relates To:)
)
ERISA ACTIONS)
)

MOTION OF THE SECRETARY OF LABOR FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, CLARIFICATION AND/OR CERTIFICATION FOR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL

Hilda Solis, the United States Secretary of Labor ("the Secretary"), in her capacity as the federal officer statutorily responsible for interpreting and enforcing the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq., respectfully moves this Court pursuant to Rule 7(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for leave to file the accompanying Brief of the Secretary of Labor as Amicus Curiae in the above-captioned case. The brief opposes the defendants' motion for reconsideration, clarification, and/or certification for interlocutory appeal, and addresses issues pertaining to the scope of ERISA section 3(21)(A)(ii), 29 U.S.C. § 1102(21)(ii), and the Secretary of Labor's accompanying regulation 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-21(c)(1). In support of this motion, the Secretary, through undersigned counsel, states as follows:

1. This case involves, in part, allegations by ERISA plan participants that Defendant Ivy Asset Management LLC ("Ivy") caused or allowed the plans' assets to be invested in Bernard Madoff's fraudulent pyramid schemes. On October 5, 2010, the Court issued an opinion finding that the plaintiffs adequately pleaded that Ivy was an investment advisor for a fee as a result of recommending Madoff and his investments.



With respect to this finding, the Ivy Defendants filed a motion on October 19, 2010, asking this Court to reconsider its order and/or certify for interlocutory review the issue of whether Ivy's investment manager recommendations are "investment advice" giving rise to fiduciary status under ERISA § 3(21)(A)(ii). As set forth in her amicus brief, the Secretary agrees with this Court's conclusion that investment manager recommendations are investment advice under the statute and its regulation and oppose the defendants' motion for reconsideration and/or certification for interlocutory review.

- 2. The Secretary has a significant interest in ensuring the proper application of ERISA section 3(21)(A)(ii) and the Secretary's accompanying regulation 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-21(c)(1), so that individuals and entities who influence the disposition of plan assets are legally obligated to act in the best interest of the plans whose assets they oversee. See Secretary of Labor v. Fitzsimmons, 805 F.2d 682 (7th Cir. 1986) (en banc) (Secretary's interests include promoting uniformity of law, protecting participants and beneficiaries, enforcing fiduciary standards, and ensuring the financial stability of employee benefit plans). This Court's determination of the scope of ERISA section 3(21)(A)(ii) and its accompanying regulation also directly affects the enforcement authority of the Secretary because the Secretary recently has filed her own suit against many of the same individuals and entities who are defendants in this case, including Ivy, whom we have sued as a fiduciary investment advisor. Moreover, the Secretary believes that her views may assist the court in resolving the issues in this case.
- 3. The Plaintiffs support the filing of the Secretary's brief and consent to the present motion.



4. The Defendants were contacted at midday on October 29, 2010, seeking their position with respect to the present motion. The Secretary has not received a response as to whether or not the Defendants consent to her seeking leave to file.

Wherefore, the Secretary moves for leave to file the accompanying amicus curiae brief, to bring her position on the investment advisor issue to the Court's attention. In addition, the Secretary requests an opportunity to be heard at any oral argument on this issue addressed in the parties' memoranda of law pertaining to the Defendants' motion to dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

M. PATRICIA SMITH Solicitor of Labor

TIMOTHY D. HAUSER Associate Solicitor Plan Benefits Security Division

ELIZABETH HOPKINS Counsel for Appellate and Special Appellate Litigation

/s/ Stephen Silverman STEPHEN SILVERMAN Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Labor Office of the Solicitor Plan Benefit Security Division Room N-4611 200 Constitution Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210 (202) 693-5623 – Phone (202) 693-5610 – Fax silverman.stephen@dol.gov

Malin grantes. So orllar

11/10/10 3

